CASE 22 Citibank: Performance Evaluation

Frits Seegers, President of Citibank California, was meeting with his management team to review the performance evaluation and bonus decisions for the California branch managers. James McGaran's performance evaluation was next. Frits felt uneasy about this one. McGaran was manager of the most important branch in the Los Angeles area, and his financials were impressive. A year ago he would have received "above par" rating with full bonus. But last year, the California Division of Citibank had introduced a new performance scorecard to highlight the importance of a diverse set of measures in achieving the strategic goals of the division. Among the new measures introduced was a customer satisfaction indicator. Unfortunately, James McGaran had scored "below par" on customer satisfaction.

Frits looked at Lisa Johnson, the area manager supervising James McGaran. Frits had read Lisa's comments (Exhibit 1). The comments were very positive, but Lisa had not wanted to give a final recommendation until she had discussed it with Frits. She knew that James' case would be watched closely by many managers within the division.

The Financial District Branch

James McGaran was manager of the most important of the 31 branches in the Los Angeles area. Located in Los Angeles's financial district, James's branch had a staff of 15 people, revenues of \$6 million, and \$4.3 million in profit margin. The customer base was very diverse. Individual customers ranged from people who worked in the financial district with sophisticated retail banking needs to less informed individuals banking for convenience. Business customers were sophisticated buyers who demanded high service quality and knowledgeable employees who could satisfy their financial needs. "Mom and pop" businesses, the dominant segment in other regions, were also present but to a much lesser extent. Competition was intense. Two competitors—Bank of America and Wells Fargo—had offices less than a block away from James's branch.

James joined Citibank in 1985 as assistant branch manager. He had worked in the banking industry since 1977. Within a year, in 1986, he was promoted to manager of a small branch. He progressed quickly through the ranks until 1992 when he was given the responsibility of managing the Financial District office. His performance in this office had exceeded expectations every single year. He had delivered impressive financial results for four years in a row. In 1996, when the division expanded its performance indicators to include non-financial measures, it became apparent that his branch's customer satisfaction ratings did not follow the same pattern as its financial performance.

James reported to Lisa Johnson, Los Angeles area manager. Lisa was a long time employee of Citibank. She joined the company in 1978 in Chicago and moved to California in early 1988. Her area was the biggest in the division and included two regions that had previously been managed separately. Lisa was a hands-on manager who spent a lot of time in the branches supporting the managers and becoming familiar with the events in each branch.

Doctoral Candidate Antonio Dávila and Professor Robert Simons prepared this case as the basis for class discussion rather than to illustrate either effective or ineffective handling of an administrative situation.

Copyright © 1997 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College. To order copies or request permission to reproduce materials, call 1-800-545-7685 or write Harvard Business School Publishing. Boston. MA 02163. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, used in a spreadsheet, or transmitted in any form or by any means—electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise—without the permission of Harvard Business School.

New Performance Scorecard

Citibank was a niche player in the California market. It had eighty branches compared with four hundred offices of its biggest competitor. Citibank's strategy in California was to build a profitable franchise by providing relationship banking combined with a high level of service to its customers. Service was delivered face to face (in the branch) or remotely, depending on the wishes of the customers. Customers' service expectations rose in line with their net worth, as did their profitability for the bank. These customers demanded high levels of service with careful personal attention and a broad selection of financial products. Citibank provided a broad array of services including a dense network of ATM machines, 24 hour banking, and home banking.

Financial measures had dominated Citibank's performance evaluation in the past. But top managers in the division felt that these measures were poor vehicles to communicate the high service strategy of the bank. Frits Seegers wanted people in the division to have a broader view of the business and focus their attention on those dimensions that were critical to the long term success of the franchise.

To reflect the importance of non-financial measures as leading indicators of strategy implementation, the California Division developed a Performance Scorecard. It complemented existing financial measures with new measures reflecting important competitive dimensions in the bank's strategy. The initial version was pre-tested in 1995 and, starting in the first quarter of 1996, Performance Scorecard goals and performance data became a central management tool to implement strategy and evaluate performance.

The Performance Scorecard was built around six different types of measures: financial, strategy implementation, customer satisfaction, control, people, and standards (see Exhibits 2 to 5).

Financial measures were obtained from the regular accounting system and focused primarily on total revenue and profit margin against targets.

Strategy implementation measures tracked revenue for different types of target customer segments relevant to the strategy of the branch. James's Performance Scorecard focused primarily on revenues from retail customers—households, businesses, and professionals.

Customer satisfaction was measured through telephone interviews with approximately twenty-five branch customers who had visited the branch during the past month. Customer satisfaction scores were derived from questions that focused on branch service as well as other Citibank services like 24 hours phone banking and ATM services. An independent research firm was responsible for administering the survey under the guidance of the division's Relationship Satisfaction department. Given the current strategy of the bank, which focused on customer service as a key differentiator, Frits Seegers considered the customer satisfaction measure as critical to the long term success of his division. He saw it as a leading indicator of future financial performance. If customer satisfaction deteriorated, it was only a matter of time before it showed in the financials.

Control measures reported the evaluation by internal auditors on the branch's internal control processes. Branches had to score at least par (defined as 4 on a scale of 1 to 5) to be eligible for any bonus. If the rating was below 4, the branch's business was considered at risk and did not meet the minimum requirements for effective control.

People and Standards were non-quantifiable ratings determined subjectively by the branch manager's boss. The "people" measure focused on the proactive efforts of the manager to develop and communicate with subordinates, to encourage area training programs, and to be a role model to more junior people. Standards included an assessment of a manager's involvement in community groups, trade associations, and business ethics.

Each component of the Scorecard was scored independently into one of three rating categories: "below par", "par", or "above par". For those measures that could be measured quantitatively—financial, strategy implementation, customer satisfaction, and control—pre-defined performance thresholds determined where performance fell in this three-level scale. However, ratings related to people and standards lacked an appropriate objective indicator: in these cases performance was determined subjectively by the branch manager's superior.

In addition, the manager's boss gave a global rating for each of the six components of the Scorecard and an overall rating for the branch manager.

Performance and Incentives

The performance planning process started in October with a negotiation process between Frits Seegers and his area managers. At the end of this initial stage, Performance Scorecard targets for the upcoming year were established for the division and for each area. These targets were cascaded down the organization. Area managers negotiated with branch managers to determine their financial targets and strategy implementation goals for the year. At the end of this process, the targets for branch managers were added up to ensure that they equaled or exceeded the area's targets.

Customer satisfaction and control goals were common to all branches in the division. For customer satisfaction, the 1996 goal was to achieve a rating of at least 80.

Financial, strategy implementation, customer satisfaction, and control targets formed the quantitative basis for *ex post* performance evaluation. Each quarter, area managers received branch information with the actual numbers for each of these measures and a comparison with the quarterly objectives. This information, together with the subjective scores that the area manager gave for the People and Standards ratings, formed the basis for the quarterly and yearly evaluation of branch managers.

Year-end performance evaluation was determined jointly by a team led by Frits Seegers. The team comprised the area managers, including Lisa Johnson, and managers from human resources, quality, and finance. Frits believed that having a team jointly evaluate performance of every branch manager gave consistency to the process throughout the division. It was this team that was now meeting to decide James's performance evaluation for the year.

In addition to other motivational elements associated with the yearly evaluation, a branch manager's bonus was linked to his or her final Performance Scorecard rating. A "below par" rating did not carry any bonus. A "par" rating generated a bonus of up to 15% of the basic salary (for branch managers with a salary in the lower part of the salary bracket, the bonus could reach 20%). An "above par" rating could mean as much as 30% bonus.

Without "par" ratings in all the components of the Scorecard, a manager could not get an "above par" rating.

Performance of the Financial District Branch

Frits reviewed the 1996 performance evaluation forms for James McGaran. His financials were outstanding—20% above target. According to Lisa Johnson, James's branch "had generated the highest revenue and made the greatest margin contribution to the business of any branch in the system." His strategy implementation scores were in the "par" to "above par" range, although Lisa Johnson had given him an "above par" rating in three quarters. James

had maintained an "above par" rating in the control scorecard and Lisa Johnson had rated him exceptionally where she had the discretion to do so.

However, customer satisfaction was "below par". A branch obtained a "par" rating if it scored 74 to 79. If customer satisfaction was above 80 or it had improved 6 points with no regression during 2 quarters and it was above the market average (77), then the branch got an "above par" rating.

Lisa and Frits were aware that a strict application of the new policies for performance evaluation meant that James could get at most a "par" evaluation for the year. But James' branch was the largest and toughest branch in the division. He had a demanding clientele and challenging competition. It was difficult to manage such a diverse set of indicators, and the customer satisfaction measure was sometimes hard to reconcile with demonstrated financial performance. James had discussed with Lisa his concerns regarding the adequacy of the survey. Customers rated not only their branch, but also other Citibank services such as ATM's that were out of the control of branch managers. Thus, it was possible that these centralized services were not providing adequate support to the sophisticated customers of James's branch.

Notwithstanding these concerns, James had worked hard to improve the customer satisfaction rating during the last quarter. He had made some changes in his staff to improve the score. One person in the branch was now dedicated to greeting the customer when arriving at the office and helping with any problems that may arise. He also held branch meetings and coached branch employees to focus their attention on improving customer satisfaction.

James gave a lot of importance to his ratings. It was a matter of pride to be "above par" and show that he was able to successfully run the hardest branch in the division. He had felt very disappointed when, in two quarters of the year, his rating had been only par. His branch was difficult and he was delivering the best financial performance in the division. He thought that his efforts deserved an above par rating, even if customer satisfaction was somewhat lagging.

Frits reviewed James's scorecards for each quarter of 1996 (Exhibit 2 to 5). His financials were exceptional, but only in the last quarter was he able to pull customer satisfaction to an acceptable level. If the performance evaluation team gave James an "above par" people could think that the division was not serious about its non-financial measures. James had been "below par" in customer satisfaction for all quarters of 1996 and, if this measure was truly important, he should not get an "above par" rating. On the other hand, he deserved the above par given his excellent performance in other dimensions. James was a reference point for a lot of other branch managers.

Frits held the summary scorecard in his hand (Exhibit 1) and turned to Lisa Johnson:

"Lisa, I've read over your comments and reviewed James's quarterly scorecards. All that now remains is ticking off the six boxes on this summary form and deciding on an overall performance rating for James What do you recommend?"

EXHIBIT 1 James McGaran's year-end performance for 1996

	Betow Ahove Par Par Par	YEAR-END PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT
FINANCIAL Total Above plan Revenue \$ 6 million \$604,933 Expense \$1.7 million \$88,460 Margin \$4.3 million \$693,393		James had an exceptional year. The branch grew \$56 million or 39% in footings, ranking #1 in the marketplace. Contribution margin was \$4.3 million for the year, ranking the branch #1 in the marketplace. Expenses were \$88.5 theusand better than plan for the year. Contribution margin improved by 48% from 4Q95 to 4Q96.
STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION	0	The branch enjoyed strong growth in business, professional, and retail, Cingold began to pick up in the third and fourth quarter. The branch's new household acquisition of 21% was impressive. Annualized attrition was 12% in 1996, James grew balances in all business segments: retail balances improved \$2.4 million, Citigold increased \$18 million, and business and professional increased \$3.4.8 million.
CUSTOMER SATISFACTION	0 0 0	Full-year service scores showed mixed results, 66 1Q, 63 2Q, 54 3Q, 72 4Q, James identified areas of opportunity and put corrective measures in place that allowed him to improve service scores substantially by year-end.
CONTROL Operating losses \$81,960 Fraud losses \$55,920	0	The branch received two "S" audit ratings in 1996. Jannes is a very conscientious manager and works closely with his SCM to ensure operational compliance all times. Due to the sheer volume of transactions, the branch sustained substantial operating and fraud losses, over \$137 thousand full-year. Some of these losses were from prior years, others were beyond branch control. Still, there is room for improvement in this area.
PEOPLE Performance Management Teamwork Training / Development Employee Satisfaction	0 0 0	James is an excellent people manager. His Viewpoint results were amongst the best in the Area. He is a team-builder in his branch and motivates his people to go above and beyond. James had minimal turnover in 1996, James is one of the most consistent managers in the Area. His daily meetings are well-planned and productive. He instills focus and discipline in his branch. James is viewed as a team-player in the Area. He is quick to volunteer to help his peers or participate on special projects. James has been working on his MBA and has nearly completed the comprehensive Credit training program.
STANDARIDS Leadership Business Ethics / Integrity Customer Interaction / Focus Community Involvement Contribution to Overall Business	0 0 0	James has very high standards for himself and those in his employ. He is well respected for his strong leadership skills. He showed sincere concern for his customer service scores and did whatever was necessary to improve customer satisfaction. James and his team are very involved in the local community. James has taken an active role in developing a business network within the community. He also served as a board member on the American Heart Walk campaign. James's people are also involved in various community groups.
OVERALL EVALUATION	0 0 0	This has been an exceptional year for James. From a financial perspective, his branch was rated #1 in the marketplace. His willingness and ability to look outside the box to close a deal are admired and respected. He has done an excellent job refining his management style, becoming one of the most effective baders and coaches in the marketplace. James is dedicated to the success of the business, as evidenced by his willingness to work weekends, holidays, and during his vacation to ensure enstoner satisfaction, operational control, and financial growth, James is an outstanding manager. Congratulations on a job well done!!

Approved by Regional President:

EXHIBIT 2 James McGaran's Performance Scorecard for the first quarter of 1996

			1996 RESULTS			1996 GOALS	8118	
	Par Par Par	1st quarter 2nd quarter	er 3rd quarter	4th quarter	1st quarter	2nd onarter	3rd anartar	Ath owners
FINANCIAL		L	l		Taken bear	Tile sharing	on draner	4tn quarter
Revenue	0	1 250 (194						
Expense	0	421.430			1,134,276	1,206,442	1,325,692	1,416,242
Margin		828,664			730,690	788,470	414,900	414,900
STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION] [The state of the s
Total Households		0000						
New to bank households) () () (0.72.6						
Lost to bank households	0	(93)						
Cross-sell, splits, mergers households	0 0 0	. 4						
Retail asset balances	0 0 0	\$ 5,578						
ivialiset stale	0 0 0	1.8%						
CUSTOMER SATISFACTION		Score 66 Goal 80 T	The branch has shown significant and sustained innrovement in sustained and	n significant and sus	stained improve	motone in premie	1	
NONTE (NOT					and the same	CHICKLIII CUSCOII	nei satistacijoj	
CONTROL Audit Legal / Regulatory	00	Score 4 Goal 4 TI	The branch demonstrates strong operational control	ates strong operation	nal control.			
PEOPLE	100	James is a strong manager. He has inculcated a disciplined sales process and reinforces it with a daily frame and	has inculcated a disc	iplined sales process	s and reinforce	e it with a daile	The street,	
Performance Management	0	branch, and individuals are doing vs. goal	ig vs. goal.			The minima cally	y rocus on now	tite business,
Tening	0	James is currently working on his MHA James	ie MHA dassos					
Halling / Development	0	III III SIII WALLE TO THE OIL III	is ivina deglee.					
Self	0	James works closely with his staff, coordinating the necessary training anonymone either in because in 12, 12, 11.	aff, coordinating the	necessary training	arthin amana	i de constant de c	100	
Otner Employee Satisfaction	0 0 0 0	meeting and coaching sessions have allowed him to increase the knowledge and professionalism of his people	have allowed him to	increase the knowle	edge and profes	ssionalism of hi	n the class-roo is people.	m. His daily
STANDARDS		siones bus clear and consiste	1					
Leadership	0	customers. James has built a cohesive team and leads by avainable to earning the respect of his staff, colleagues, and	se direction in his bra	anch. He acts profes	ssionally, earnir	ig the respect of	f his staff, coll	eagues, and
Business Ethics / Integrity	0	James consistently upholds all bank standards and ensures appropriateness of action for himself and his energy	ank standards and e.	nsures appropriaten	ess of action fo	r himself and h	ic etaff	
Customer Interaction / Focus		Excellent progress in customer interaction.	interaction.	:			na statit.	
Community Involvement	0	sames proactively develops and implements effective programs to embance Citibank's image as socially responsible. He and his staff are involved in a number of community money in the formal of the contractions of the contraction of	implements effective	e programs to enhai	nce Citibank's	image as sociall	ly responsible.	He and his
Contribution to Overall Business		James makes significant contribution to the business. The business is currently the highest revenue and margin producer in the market place. James and his team grow revenue by 8/14/22 million or 16%.	ntion to the business in grew revenue by \$	an Los Angeles. S. The branch is curr 142.2 million or 16	rently the highe	st revenue and	тагдіп ргодик	er in the
OVERALL EVALUATION	0	James takes complete ownership of his branch and leverages internal and external relationships to grow the business and solve	of his branch and h	everages internal an	id external relat	ionships to grov	w the business	and solve
	,	Programs, it may demonstrated his ability to consistently outperform the branch's aggressive financial goals.	ills abitary to consist	ently outperform th	e branch's aggi	essive financial	l goals.	

EXHIBIT 3 James McGaran's Performance Scorecard for the second quarter of 1996

			19961	1996 RESULTS					
	Below Above	Est enanter	2nd quarter	3rd morter	Ath quarter	l'et enterte	1996 GOALS	2.1	
FINANCIAL					in death	ion shared	zilu qualiei	ייים קעמונים	41n quarter
Revenue	0 0 0	1.254,876	1,486,172			1,141,612	1.213.744	1 337 865	1.423.454
Expense	0	421,430	378,959			403,586	436.276	436 806	437 782
Margin	0 0 0	833,446	1,107,213			738,026	777,468	896,059	986.172
STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION	000								
Total Households	0 0 0	3,403	3,438						
New to bank households	0	257	162						
Lost to bank households	0	(63)	(119)						
Cross-sell, splits, mergers households	0	4	(7)						
Market share	0 C 0 C	\$ 5,578 1.8%	\$ 5,402						
CUSTOMER SATISFACTION	000	Score 63 Goal 80		score is down 3 p	The score is down 3 points. James and his team need to work on customer satisfaction	is team need to	work on custor	ner satisfaction	
A Committee of the Comm									
CONTROL		Score 5 Goal 5		case lancitudas					
Legal / Regulatory	0 (architoliai score	an exceptional score given the size and complexity of the branch	complexity of t	he branch.		
PEOPLE	1	James fosters a strong sense of teamwork, as evidenced by his Viewpoint results and employee satisfication scores. James	g sense of tear	nwork, as evider	aced by his Viewpo	int results and	emulovee saisf	action scores	lames
Performance Management	0	maintains very high development standards for himself and his staff.	development s	tandards for him	self and his staff.				e di ilica
Teamwork	0	He is currently worki	M sid no su	A dominant news also	of the state of th		-		
Training / Development	0	self-development.	CIINT SIIII IIIO SIIII	negree and sin	Juio graduale III 19	97. James also	actively promot	les cross-tram	ng and
Self	0								
Other	0								
Chiptoyee Sanstaction	0								
STANDARDS	00	James is recognized throughout the business as one of California's finest managers. He has demonstrated strong leader thin skills	hroughout the	business as onc	of California's fine	st managers. H	e has demonstr	aled strong lea	derebin ekille
Leadership	0	and a keen understanding of the business	ding of the bu	siness.				4	sums duran
Business Ethics / Integrity	0 0 0	The broad modes le	landour a factor	4			i		
Customer Interaction / Focus	0	In comment, under stilles a readership, has made a major contribution to the marketplace. The branch's margin contribution of \$1.108M, exceeds the next closest branch by \$3%.	mes s readers!	np, nas made a n granch by 53%.	najor contribution (o the marketpla	ice. The branch	's margin cont	nbution of
Contribution to Ownerd Business	0								
College to Overall Dustness	0								
OVERALL EVALUATION		James had another exceptional quarter. The branch exceeded its margin goal by 22%. Total margin contribution improved 33%, total footings increased by 9.4%, and revenue increased 18.4%. Congritulizions on another amendance another.	ceptional qua	ter. The branch	exceeded its margin ased 18.4%. Congr	r goal by 22%.	Total margin co	ontribution imp	sroved 33%.
					3		TOTAL CATOLOGIST	illg quarter.	

568

EXHIBIT 4 James McGaran's Performance Scorecard for the third quarter of 1996

1.24.876 1.486.172 1.533.690 1.141.612 1.213.744 1.429.974 1.424.688 1.213.744 1.429.974 1.424.688 1.213.744 1.429.974 1.424.688 1.213.744 1.429.974 1.424.688 1.213.744 1.429.974 1.424.688 1.213.744 1.429.974 1.424.688 1.213.744 1.429.974 1.424.688 1.213.744 1.429.974 1.424.688 1.213.744 1.429.974 1.424.688 1.213.744 1.429.974 1.424.688 1.424			u)	1996 R	1996 RESULTS					
1.254.876 1.486.172 1.599.480 1.141.612 1.213.744 1.429.974 1.424.688 1.42		Par Par Par		2nd quarter	3rd onorton	401		1996 GOA	LS	
TATION T	FINANCIAL				יום למשונכו	4tn quarter	1st quarter	2nd quarter	3rd quarter	4th quarter
138,026 177,468 944,568 147,100 145,688 147,100 147,688 147,	Revenue Expense Margin	001	_	1,486,172 395,216 1,090,956	378,458		1.141,612	1,213,744	1,429,974	1,423,454
rest households					202,012.1		738,026	430.276	445,688	437,282
sers households ers households ers households ers households ers households or o	STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION	1						004,777	784,286	986,172
Figures Sines (1976) Figures	Total Households New to hank households	0	3,409	3,445	3.511					
ers households Troy O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Lost to bank households) () () (257	162	152					
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Cross-sell, splits, mergers households) (} } ((F6)	(119)	(100)					
10	Retail asset balances Market share) () -{ ()	\$ 5,578	(7) \$ 5,402	1.3					
TON Biness Single State of the Control of the Contr		0	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%					
Becele Becele Becele Belon 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	CUSTOMER SATISFACTION	0	1 5	-						
0			ŧ,		scores continue	d to deteriorate in	the 3rd, quarter	The broads	of the second	
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CONTROL			teller ar	nd desperately m	seds another two C	CBCs to offloard	i. The brainell ra	III Short of one	
1	Audit							3113	attic in the bran	ich.
Silvers Rich Report For the Company of the Company	Legal / Regulatory) () () (Not reviewed this qua	arter.						
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PEOPLE									
	Performance Management		James has a very foor	Pood and director						
0 00000	Teamwork) (Area.	aca and discipin	ned sales process	in his branch. His	daily sales me	etings have bec	onse the "morte	l" for tha
	Training / Development) () () (
0 00000 0 000 0 000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Self) () () () () ()	James is currently wo	rking on his MB.	4					
	Other			THE PROPERTY OF	A utgree and par	ricipating in the C	ommercial pro-	gram.		
Silvess	Employee Satisfaction	10	Employee satisfaction	is high in the bra	anch, as evidenc	ed by James's posi	itive Viewpoin	resulte		
.us O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	STANDARDS	1	1000000							
ins Siliness O O O O O O O O O O O O	Leadership	0	Jaines is nighly respec	ted in the Area a	s a seasoned ma	nager and leader.				
siness O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Business Ethics / Integruy	0 19								
Siness O O O	Customer Interaction / Focus	0	lumas card Lin							
	Contribution of the Contri	000	James makes a fremen	very involved in	n the local comm	unity.				
0	contribution to Overall Business	0	deals happen despite s	estems and back-	n to the Area and office constraint	the business. He l	has a "can do" ;	aftitude and ofte	en finds ways to	make
	OVERALL EVALUATION	0	James had another							
			is the highest in the ma	eptional quarter.	Financials impre	wed in all aspects.	Expenses were	helow olon	1.11	

EXHIBIT 5 James McGaran's Performance Scorecard for the fourth quarter of 1996

						A TIME I	1-1-10 TO TOTAL	5	
	Below Above		1996 R	1996 RESULTS			1996 GOALS	31	
FINANCIAL		1st quarter	2nd quarter	3rd quarter	4th quarter	1st quarter	2nd quarter	3rd quarter	4th quarter
Revenue	[
Expense) [] [1,254.876	1,486,172	1.593,690	1,636,056	1,141,612	1,213,744	1 A30 074	200
Margin) () () () () ()	833,446	395,216	378,458	456.061	403,586	436.276	445,688	454.076
			0.00000	757,617,1	1,179,995	738,026	777,468	984.286	1126.458
SIRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION									distriction of
Total Households		3 400							
New to bank households] [3,409	5,445	3,511	3,503				
Lost to bank households] } }	257	162	152	102				
Total call called	() () ()	(63)	(119)	(107)	(178)	_			
Description of the party of the	0	4	(2)	0.0	(07.1				
iverall asset balances	0	\$ 5,578	\$ 5.407	75 F 2 2	× 5				
Market share		1.8%	%87	1.20%	016.66				
CHSTOMED CATISTA CTION	-			0/00	1.0%				
COSTOMER SATISFACTION	0	Score 72 Goal 80	_	itulations to Jan	Congratulations to James and his form Go. 11.	1			
CONTROL			,		Co actu ins team to	r meur improve	ment in service	results.	
TOWN TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY			F						
Audit	000	Score 5 Goal 5	5 James 1	naintains strong	James maintains strong operational control in his branch	ol in his branch			
ESETT INCRUINGLY									
PEOPI E									
		James is an exception	al performance	mannan Ha ac					
Performance Management		to their best potential.		manager, ric co	minunicates clear a	and concise exp	occlations and n	nanages his peo	ple
Leanwork	0 0	James is a consummate team player and fosters the same behavior in his least	ile team player ai	nd fosters the sa	me hehavior in his	la constitución de la constituci			
Traming / Development	0	Self and employee development are a priority to James. He is currently working on his xxxx.	velopment are a	priority to Jame	S. He is currently a	o Oranich. Working on bis	750 A 11.		
Self		comprehensive Credit training program	t training progra	'n.		em no same	мъл пергес аг	id is affending	
Other	10	James encourages his staff to develop themselves. He also looks for opportunities for them to arrand 4	staff to develop	themselves. He	also looks for opp	ortunities for th	A bueste of man		
Employee Satisfaction		i programs. James enfove a bieb b	To leave				יבינו וס שורווח א	ea or Catasoure	e tramıng
		The state of employee satisfaction, as evidence by his Viewpoint results and low employee turnover	ever or emproyer	salistaction, as	evidence by his V	'iewpoint result	s and low empl	OVER UITHOVER	
STANDARDS		Inmes is bighly rase							
Leadership		hranches in the area	red as an effectiv	e Icader and co.	ach. His daily sales	s meetings have	e become the m	odel for the oth	
Business Ethics / Integrity	}								
Customer Interaction / Focus		It's been a difficult year meeting customer expectations in the bear at 1	ar meeting custo	Mer expectation	o in the bears to	:			
Community Involvement		Job managing the chal.	lenge.		a in the praintin pull	Lames and his	team have done	an outstanding	50
Contribution to Overall Business		James is very involved in the local community and proactively looks for opportunities for himself and his staff to create any	f in the focal con	ununity and pro	actively looks for	opportunities fa	or himself and h	is staff to creat	
OVER AT 1 FIVE TO SECOND		ender and a model corporate citizen.	stoups and estab	ISO CHIDANK an	a model corporat	e citizen.			=
STENALE EVALUATION	0	James has done an exceptional job. The branch was rated #1 in the marked and to	eptional job. The	e branch was rat	ed #1 in the marks	though 14			
		greatest margin to the business. They have done all that while maintaining a 5 rated audits. Exceptional annexes and	business. They h	ave done all tha	t while maintaining	apace, it gener g a 5 rated andi	rates the highest	revenue and m	akes the
		vacatanoning year ::					- Landana	קשמוכו מוום	

570

Case Citibank

Questions:

- 1. Why has Citibank decided to introduce the Performance Scorecard?
- 2. Assume that you are Lisa Johnson. Complete Exhibit 1 to evaluate James' Performance. Explain your evaluation.
- 3. Describe what you feel during this process of evaluation